# Layered wheels

21<sup>e</sup> Journées Graphes et Algorithmes, Bruxelles, 13 - 15 November, 2019

Dewi Sintiari, joint work with Nicolas Trotignon



Let G be a graph.

- *H* is an induced subgraph of *G*, if it can be obtained from *G* by deleting vertices we say that *G* contains *H*
- G is H-free if it does not contain any graph isomorphic to H
- *G* is  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if it is *H*-free, for every  $H \in \mathcal{F}$



## Truemper configurations

We are interested in the existence of the following graphs as induced subgraphs of the classes being studied.



<sup>1</sup>Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas (2002) <sup>2</sup>Conforti, Cornuéjols, Kapoor, and Vušković (2002) <sup>3</sup>Chudnovsky and Seymour (2005)



## Truemper configurations

We are interested in the existence of the following graphs as induced subgraphs of the classes being studied.



\* any pair of the three paths must induce a hole (chordless cycle of length at least 4)

<sup>1</sup>Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas (2002) <sup>2</sup>Conforti, Cornuéjols, Kapoor, and Vušković (2002) <sup>3</sup>Chudnovsky and Seymour (2005)



## Truemper configurations

We are interested in the existence of the following graphs <u>as induced subgraphs</u> of the classes being studied.



\* any pair of the three paths must induce a hole (chordless cycle of length at least 4)

Some classes that exclude Truemper configurations:

- Perfect graphs
- Even-hole-free graphs
  - even hole = a hole of even length



 $\rightarrow$  excluding pyramid, theta, many wheels  $^3$ 

 $\rightarrow$  excluding pyramid, some wheels <sup>1</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  excluding prism, theta, some wheels <sup>2</sup>

• many others...

<sup>1</sup>Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour, and Thomas (2002)
<sup>2</sup>Conforti, Cornuéjols, Kapoor, and Vušković (2002)
<sup>3</sup>Chudnovsky and Seymour (2005)



## Even-hole-free (EHF) graphs and Theta-free (TF) graphs

Recall:

- an even hole is a hole of even length
- a theta is a graph induced by three paths s.t. any two of them induce a hole.



**Remark.** At least two of  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  have same parity



## Even-hole-free (EHF) graphs and Theta-free (TF) graphs

Recall:

- an even hole is a hole of even length
- a theta is a graph induced by three paths s.t. any two of them induce a hole.



**Remark.** At least two of  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  have same parity

Observation. A theta always contains a claw and an even hole





### • Coloring

- NPC for TF graphs (because it is NPC for claw-free graphs<sup>4</sup>)
- open for EHF graphs

#### • Max independent set

- open for both classes
- Remark. it is polynomial for claw-free graphs <sup>5</sup>

#### • Decomposition theorem

- several decomposition theorems are known for EHF graphs <sup>6</sup>
- open for TF graphs

<sup>4</sup>Hoyler (1981) <sup>5</sup>Minty (1980) <sup>6</sup>Conforti, Cornuéjols, Kapoor, and Vušković (2002); da Silva and Vušković (2008)



## Graph widths

re a parameter that measure how "complex" the structure of a graph is

Three notions of widths that we use:

- Treewidth tw
- Pathwidth pw
- Rankwidth rw

a well-known bound:

```
For any graph G, rw(G) \le tw(G) \le pw(G)
```

#### Remark.

- Observation: EHF graphs have unbounded treewidth (clique, chordal graphs are EHF)
- It is not easy to produce a (non trivial) EHF graphs of large widths
- also not easy to find a (non trivial) subclass of small widths



# Some results on the widths of EHF graphs

### Negative results on EHF graphs<sup>7</sup>

• The rankwidth of EHF graph with <u>no diamond</u> is unbounded





diamond

★ **Remark.** the graph contains large clique

<sup>8</sup>The first two results are by Cameron, da Silva, Huang, and Vušković (2018); the third result is by Cameron, Chaplick, and Hoáng (2018)



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Adler, Le, Müller, Radovanović, Trotignon, and Vušković (2017)

# Some results on the widths of EHF graphs

### Negative results on EHF graphs<sup>7</sup>

• The rankwidth of EHF graph with <u>no diamond</u> is unbounded







★ **Remark.** the graph contains large clique

### Positive results on EHF graphs <sup>8</sup>

- EHF graph G with no triangle:  $tw(G) \le 5$
- EHF graph G with no cap:  $tw(G) \le 48$
- EHF graph G with no pan:  $tw(G) \le 1.5\omega(G)$



CNRS, LIP, ENS LYON

<sup>7</sup>Adler, Le, Müller, Radovanović, Trotignon, and Vušković (2017)

<sup>8</sup>The first two results are by Cameron, da Silva, Huang, and Vušković (2018); the third result is by Cameron, Chaplick, and Hoáng (2018)

## Observation, motivation, and results

Recall: EHF graphs with no triangle have treewidth at most 5

• How about EHF graphs with no K<sub>4</sub>?

region we show that the treewidth can be arbitrarily large

• This also answers the following question<sup>9</sup> : is the treewidth of EHF graph (in general) bounded by a function of its max clique size?

no, our constructions have small clique size, but large treewidth



### Observation, motivation, and results

Recall: EHF graphs with no triangle have treewidth at most 5

• How about EHF graphs with no  $K_4$ ?

region we show that the treewidth can be arbitrarily large

 This also answers the following question<sup>9</sup>: is the treewidth of EHF graph (in general) bounded by a function of its max clique size?

IN no, our constructions have small clique size, but large treewidth

#### Theorem 1. [DS., Trotignon (2019+)]

- For every  $\ell \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 4$ , there exists a  $K_4$ -free EHF-graph of girth at least k and treewidth at least  $\ell$ .
- idem for triangle-free TF-graph.



<sup>9</sup>asked by Cameron, Chaplick, and Hoáng (2018)

## Observation, motivation, and results

Recall: EHF graphs with no triangle have treewidth at most 5

• How about EHF graphs with no K<sub>4</sub>?

region we show that the treewidth can be arbitrarily large

• This also answers the following question<sup>9</sup>: is the treewidth of EHF graph (in general) bounded by a function of its max clique size?

IN no, our constructions have small clique size, but large treewidth

#### Theorem 1. [DS., Trotignon (2019+)]

- For every  $\ell \ge 1$ ,  $k \ge 4$ , there exists a  $K_4$ -free EHF-graph of girth at least k and treewidth at least  $\ell$ .
- idem for triangle-free TF-graph.

### Such graphs is what we name as Layered wheels



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>asked by Cameron, Chaplick, and Hoáng (2018)

# $\triangle$ -free TF graphs & K<sub>4</sub>-free EHF graphs

What we have and what we study...



#### Observation:

- $\triangle$ -free TF graphs and  $K_4$ -free EHF graphs both are (prism, pyramid, theta)-free
- $\triangle$ -free TF graphs do not contain certain wheels,  $K_4$ -free EHF graphs do not contain some other wheels
- Let's study the structure of wheels in our classes



### Wheels in our classes

- wheel = hole H + a vertex x that has at least 3 neighbours in H
- 2-wheel = hole H + vertices x, y that each has at least 3 neighbours in H





### Wheels in our classes

- wheel = hole H + a vertex x that has at least 3 neighbours in H
- 2-wheel = hole H + vertices x, y that each has at least 3 neighbours in H



#### Structure of 2-wheels with x and y non-adjacent

- In △-free EHF graph : 2-wheels are always nested
- In  $\bigtriangleup\mbox{-free TF graph}$  : nested, except the cube
- In  $K_4$ -Free EHF graph : nested, with several exceptions



**Notation:** Layered wheel, of  $\ell$  layers and girth k



Figure:  $\triangle$ -free TF layered wheel of 2 layers with girth 4



## $\bigtriangleup\mbox{-free TF}$ layered wheel - construction

 $\stackrel{\mathrm{center}}{\bullet}$ 

 $G(\ell, k)$ , with  $\ell = 2$  and k = 4



 $L_0$ 



$$G(\ell, k)$$
, with  $\ell = 2$  and  $k = 4$ 



### $\bigtriangleup\mbox{-free TF}$ layered wheel - construction





### $\bigtriangleup\mbox{-free TF}$ layered wheel - construction





























# Layered wheel is theta-free

• Layered wheel is full of subdivided claw but... it contains no theta





## Treewidth of layered wheel

• the treewidth of layered wheel on  $\ell$  layers is at least  $\ell$ 



- stronger result: the rankwidth is unbounded
  - Classical theorem: <sup>10</sup>

Let *t* be integer, and C be a class of graph that do not contain  $K_{t,t}$  as a subgraph. Then the class has bounded *tw* iff it has bounded *rw*.





In our △-free TF layered wheels have no K<sub>2,3</sub>

<sup>10</sup>Gurski and Wanke (2000)

## EHF layered wheel - construction

- The first two layers are similar as for  $\triangle$ -free TF layered wheel
- The construction is more complicated. There are three types of vertices.



- The treewidth is at least  $\ell$
- It is even-hole-free
- It contains no *K*<sub>2,2</sub>, so *rw* is unbounded





### The treewidth is "small" in some sense

Consider layered wheel on  $\ell$  layers.

**Remark.** to reach treewidth  $\ell$ , the layered wheel needs  $\Omega(3^{\ell})$  vertices.

Theorem 2. [DS., Trotignon (2019+)]

The treewidth of layered wheel is in  $O(\log(n))$  where *n* is the vertex size.

Proof.

- 1.  $n \gg 3^{\ell}$
- 2. tw(layered wheel)  $\leq pw$ (layered wheel)  $\leq 2\ell$



**Theorem 1.** For every integers  $\ell \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 3$  there exists a graph  $G_{\ell,k}$  such that:

- it is theta-free and it has girth at least *k* (so, is  $\triangle$ -free when  $k \ge 4$ ).
- $\ell \leq \operatorname{rw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{tw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{pw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq 2\ell \leq 2^{\ell} \leq |V(G_{\ell,k})|.$



**Theorem 1.** For every integers  $\ell \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 3$  there exists a graph  $G_{\ell,k}$  such that:

- it is theta-free and it has girth at least *k* (so, is  $\triangle$ -free when  $k \ge 4$ ).
- $\ell \leq \operatorname{rw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{tw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{pw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq 2\ell \leq 2^{\ell} \leq |V(G_{\ell,k})|.$

**Theorem 2.** For every integers  $\ell \ge 1$  and  $k \ge 3$  there exists a graph  $G_{\ell,k}$  such that:

- it is  $K_4$ -free EHF and every hole in the graph has length at least k.
- $\ell \leq \operatorname{rw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{tw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq \operatorname{pw}(G_{\ell,k}) \leq 2\ell \leq 2^{\ell} \leq |V(G_{\ell,k})|.$



#### Conjectures. [DS., Trotignon (2019+)]

• There exists a constant *c* such that for any  $\triangle$ -free TF graph *G*, we have

 $tw(G) \leq c \log |V(G)|.$ 

• Idem for K<sub>4</sub>-free EHF graph.

if these are true, many graph problems are poly-time solvable in both classes.



## Excluding more structure

#### Theorem 3. [DS., Trotignon (2019+)]

- Let k be fixed. There exists a constant c such that any (theta, triangle, k-span-wheel)-free graph G has treewidth bounded by O (k<sup>6</sup>).
- idem for (K<sub>4</sub>, pyramid, k-span-wheel)-free EHF graph → O (k<sup>9</sup>)





## Excluding more structure



#### Remark.

- The theorem is interesting regarding the max independent set problem. It is open for the class of <u>k-subdivided-claw-free graphs</u> and the class of theta-free graphs
- The theorem is no more true if one exclusion is forgotten.



#### Grid minor theorem 11

There exists a function f such that: if  $tw(G) \ge f(k)$ , then G contains a grid of treewidth at least k as a minor.

Is there a similar theorem with induced subgraph instead of minor?



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Robertson and Seymour (1986)

<sup>12</sup>Does there exist a function *f* such that: every graphs with  $tw \ge f(k)$  contains as an induced subgraph some graph of  $tw \ge k$  that is one of the following

- a big clique
- a big complete bipartite graph
- a big grid (possibly subdivided)

- a big wall (possibly subdivided)
- a big line graph of a subdivided wall



Figure: A grid, a wall, a subdivision of the former and its line graphs



<sup>12</sup>Does there exist a function *f* such that: every graphs with  $tw \ge f(k)$  contains as an induced subgraph some graph of  $tw \ge k$  that is one of the following

- a big clique
- a big complete bipartite graph
- a big grid (possibly subdivided)

- a big wall (possibly subdivided)
- a big line graph of a subdivided wall



Figure: A grid, a wall, a subdivision of the former and its line graphs

r no, layered wheels is a counter-example



<sup>12</sup>Does there exist a function *f* such that: every graphs with  $tw \ge f(k)$  contains as an induced subgraph some graph of  $tw \ge k$  that is one of the following

- a big clique
- a big complete bipartite graph
- a big grid (possibly subdivided)

- a big wall (possibly subdivided)
- a big line graph of a subdivided wall



Figure: A grid, a wall, a subdivision of the former and its line graphs

#### r no, layered wheels is a counter-example

#### How if some items are added to the list?

- · layered wheels or some variation of them?
- long paths ( $P_t$  with  $t \ge 5$ )?
- a vertex of high degree (at least 4)?
- graphs containing  $\geq c^{f}(k)$  vertices?



<sup>12</sup>Does there exist a function *f* such that: every graphs with  $tw \ge f(k)$  contains as an induced subgraph some graph of  $tw \ge k$  that is one of the following

- a big clique
- a big complete bipartite graph
- a big grid (possibly subdivided)

- a big wall (possibly subdivided)
- a big line graph of a subdivided wall



Figure: A grid, a wall, a subdivision of the former and its line graphs

#### r no, layered wheels is a counter-example

#### How if some items are added to the list?

- layered wheels or some variation of them?
- long paths ( $P_t$  with  $t \ge 5$ )?
- a vertex of high degree (at least 4)?
- graphs containing  $\geq c^{f}(k)$  vertices?

MERCI POUR VOTRE ATTENTION

## Treewidth and pathwidth

#### Treewidth



figures taken from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:David\_Eppstein/Gallery

- The treewidth of G is a parameter measuring how far is G from a tree
- We create a tree decomposition whose nodes are "bags"
- The *treewidth of G* is the size of the largest bag minus 1 in an optimal tree decomposition

#### pathwidth

• "path"-version of tree decomposition



# Rankwidth



example from Hlineny et. al. Width parameters beyond treewidth and their applications, The Computer Journal (51), 2008

- It is a parameter measuring the connectivity of G
- Rank decomposition is a cubic tree  $\mathcal{T}$ , with a bijection  $V(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T})$
- the <u>rankwidth</u> of *G* is the cut-rank of the adjacency matrix of the separation in an optimal rank decomposition of *G*



### Decomposition theorem

#### **Decomposition theorem**

Theorem 1. [Conforti, Cornuéjols, Kapoor, Vušković (2002); da Silva, Vušković (2008)

A connected ehf graph <sup>a</sup> is either basic or it has a 2-join or a star cutset.

<sup>a</sup>the statement is proved for more general class, namely 4-hole-free odd-signable graph

• Basic: a clique, or a hole, or an extended nontrivial basic graph



2-join



star cutset

